DANIEL VENTOSA-SANTAULÀRIA, FREDERICK H. WALLACE
AND MANUEL GÓMEZ-ZALDÍVAR[*]

Is the Real Effective Exchange Rate Biased against the PPP hypothesis?

Importante

CIDE

www.cide.edu

[*] Frederick H. Wallace: Department of Economic and Administrative Sciences, University of Quintana Roo, Chetumal, Mexico C.P. 77019. Manuel Gómez-Zaldívar: profesor titular, Department of Economics and Finance, University of Guanajuato, Guanajuato, Mexico C.P. 36250.

Abstract

We show that the use of the real effective exchange rate to test for purchasing power parity, as in Astorga (2012) and other studies, introduces a bias against finding evidence of PPP. The bias is illustrated using unit root tests applied to bilateral real rates.

Keywords: PPP; real effective exchange rate; stationarity.

JEL Classification: F31, C22.

Resumen

En este trabajo se muestra que el uso del tipo de cambio real efectivo para probar la hipótesis de paridad de poder de compra (PPP, por sus siglas en inglés), como en Astorga(2012), provoca un sesgo en las pruebas estadísticas. Éste disminuye la posibilidad de encontrar evidencia favorable a PPC. Se ilustra dicho sesgo mediante el empleo de pruebas de raíz unitaria estándar en tipos de cambio reales bilaterales.

Palabras clave: PPP, tipo de cambio real efectivo, estacionariedad.

Clasificación JEL: F31, C22.

Is the Real Effective Exchange Rate Biased against the PPP hypothesis?

Abstract: We show that the use of the real effective exchange rate to test for purchasing power parity, as in Astorga (2012) and other studies, introduces a bias against finding evidence of PPP. The bias is illustrated using unit root tests applied to bilateral real rates.

I. Introduction

In this paper we prove that the use of the real *effective* exchange rate (REER) introduces a bias against the purchasing power parity hypothesis into stationarity tests. Our argument is straightforward; the real effective exchange rate is constructed using bilateral real exchange rates (RER) weighted, typically, by trade shares of a country's major trading partners. If N bilateral real rates are used in the construction of the effective rate, then either *each of the N* real rates must be an *I(0)* process or those real rates that are not *I(0) must be* cointegrated to form an *I(0)* process for the REER to be stationary. If, by chance, evidence of PPP were found using the REER, it could mean that PPP holds for each of the bilateral rates used in the REER leading one to question the benefit from using the real effective exchange rate. Alternatively, it could mean that some of the bilateral real rates are integrated processes so that PPP and its underpinning, the law of one price, do not hold but (somehow) a cointegrating relationship exists among the integrated bilateral rates. If arbitrage to maintain the law of one price does not hold what would stationarity of the REER signify? It could not be evidence of purchasing power parity

In a recent study Astorga (2012) looks for evidence of purchasing power parity in annual real effective exchange rates (REER) of six Latin American countries for 1900-2000. Consistent with our contention, Astorga finds no evidence of stationarity in the real effective exchange rates of the six countries in his initial tests. Additional tests that include a trend and allow for structural breaks do reveal support for mean reversion of the REER, which he interprets as evidence of PPP. Our criticisms of employing REER in purchasing power parity studies are motivated by Astorga (2012) but he is not the only researcher using real effective exchange rates to test for PPP. A partial list of recent work includes Arize (2011), Bahmani-Oskooee, Hegerty, and Kutan (2009), and Bahmani-Oskooee,

Kutan and Zhou (2008). In a widely cited paper, Taylor (2001) finds support for PPP when calculating real exchange rates relative to a world basket of currencies. Although Taylor's measure uses a simple average of real rates, rather than trade-weighted shares, our argument applies equally to his world basket measure.

The rest of the article is organized as follows: in Section II, we formally present our main argument. In Section III, we illustrate our argument empirically using the same dataset of Astorga (2012). Section IV concludes the paper.

II. Econometrics arguments

The REER is constructed as a weighted average of bilateral real rates.

$$\varepsilon_{jt} = \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_{ijt}(e_{ijt} + p_{it} - p_{jt}) \tag{1}$$

Let N be the number of bilateral real rates used in construction of the real effective exchange rate and T be the sample size. Then $p_{jt}, p_{it}, e_{ijt}, \alpha_{ijt}$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and $t = 1, 2, \ldots, T$ are, respectively, the period t logarithms of the home country j price index, the price index of country i, the nominal exchange rate, and the trade share of country i relative to total trade of home country j. The nominal exchange rate is expressed as the country j price of a unit of country i currency. Note that the expression in parentheses corresponds to the bilateral real exchange rate between countries i and j in period t. Support for the PPP hypothesis is asserted if standard unit roots tests reject the null hypothesis of non-stationarity, i.e., when $\varepsilon_{jt} \sim I(0)$.[1]

Formally, cointegration means that the components of a vector x_t are cointegrated of order d, b [$x_t \sim CI(d,b)$], if (i) all components of x_t are $I(d)$, (ii) there exists a vector α ($\alpha \neq 0$) so that $u_t = \alpha' x_t \sim I(d-b)$, $b>0$[Engle and Granger (1987)]. When looking for evidence of stationarity between just three variables, as in the case of a unit root test applied to the bilateral real exchange rate, the practitioner is trying to infer whether there is a linear combination of the variables that cancels their stochastic trend components. If the practitioner decides to increase the number of variables to be included in the linear combination, as would occur if the REER is used instead of the RER, obtaining statistical

---

[1] Note that testing for PPP using this approach implies a restricted cointegration analysis between prices and nominal exchange rates because the elements of the cointegration vector are preset. Specifically, the coefficient associated with the domestic price index must be minus one, while those associated with the nominal exchange rate and foreign price indexes are equal to one.

evidence of such a linear combination entails a higher degree of difficulty for the simple reason that REER involves *N>1* trade-weighted RERs. A sufficient condition for nonstationarity of the REER is that just one of these RER series is integrated of order one (or higher). In other words, even if *N-1* RERs are stationary and the remaining one is *I(1)*, the weighted sum of all the RER would remain non-stationary. The proof is straightforward.

Proof:

Suppose there are *N-1* stationary random variables, $RER_{it} \sim I(0)$ for *i=1,...N-1* and let $RER_{Nt} = \sum_{i=1}^{t} u_i$ be integrated of order 1, *I(1)*. Let a_i, for *i=1...N*, be positive constants such that *0<a_i<1* for all *i* and $\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i = 1$. For the sake of simplicity, but without any loss of generality, suppose $RER_{it} \sim iidN(0, \sigma_i^2)$ for *i=1,...,N-1* and $u_t \sim iidN(0, \sigma_u^2)$. Finally, assume $\varepsilon_t = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i RER_{it}$. The first two moments of ε_t are: (i) *E(ε_t)=0*, and $V(\varepsilon_t) = t\sigma_u^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sigma_i^2$. The resulting variable, ε_t (which corresponds exactly to the *REER*) is clearly not covariance-stationary and any test for stationarity of ε_t should not be able to reject the null hypothesis of a unit root. Q.E.D.

Assuming no cointegration of the component series, extension of the proof to cases of a REER that is constructed from multiple, integrated bilateral real rates and to higher orders of integration of the RER components, is straightforward.

III. Empirical Illustration

Astorga (2012) tests for mean reversion of the REER of six Latin American countries; Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Mexico and Venezuela; using annual data for 1900-2000. To calculate the REER series for a country he includes the bilateral RERs of the most important trading partners: US, UK, Germany, France, Japan, and one or two Latin American countries. He finds that the null hypothesis of a unit root in the REER series cannot be rejected for any country using the ADF and Phillips-Perron unit-root tests. In most cases de-trending each REER series still leads to failure to reject the null. He interprets the test results as indicating that the series do not revert to a constant mean

possibly because of the presence of either a deterministic trend and/or structural shifts in the series.

In practice, does the bias against stationarity, inherent in the construction of the real effective exchange rate series, affect conclusions one can draw from empirical work regarding the validity of PPP? To answer this query we apply standard unit-root tests to the bilateral RER relative to the US dollar that Astorga used in constructing the real effective exchange rate measures. The *t*-statistics from the augmented Dickey-Fuller and Phillips-Perron tests (used by Astorga) applied to the bilateral rates are shown in Table 1. Test equations include an intercept but not a trend.

Table 1.UnitRoot*t*-Statistics for Bilateral Real Exchange Rates

Country	*ADF*	*PP*
Argentina	-3.24*	-3.43*
Brasil	-3.94**	-3.08*
Chile	-4.92**	-4.95**
Colombia	-1.13	-1.11
México	-2.45	-2.33
Venezuela	-2.50	-2.37

The symbols **, * denote rejection of the null hypothesis at 1% and 5%, levels, respectively.

Unlike Astorga's findings using the real effective exchange rate, the results display clear evidence of stationary bilateral real rates, hence evidence of PPP, for Argentina, Brazil and Chile. In contrast neither the ADF nor Phillips-Perron test reveals support for PPP in the real exchange rates of Colombia, Mexico, and Venezuela. These results suggest that PPP does hold for at least some of these countries and that the use of real effective exchange rates rather than bilateral real rates is unlikely to show evidence of purchasing power parity except under the exceptional circumstance of cointegration among the bilateral RERs.

IV. Conclusions

The main implication of our findings is that use of the real effective exchange rate to test for PPP makes it very unlikely that evidence of purchasing power parity will be found. We have illustrated the problem using two standard unit root tests and data from Astorga; but our arguments apply equally to any other tests for mean reversion of the REER such as cointegration methods and panel unit root tests.

References

Andrews, D.W.K., 1993. Exactly median-unbiased estimation of first order autoregressive/unit root models, *Econometrica* 61, No 1 139–165.

Arize, A.C., 2011.Purchasing power parity in LDCs: An empirical investigation, *Global Finance Journal* 22, 56-71.

Astorga, P., 2012.Mean reversion in long-horizon real exchange rates: Evidence from Latin America, *Journal of International Money and Finance* 31, 1529–1550.

Bahmani-Oskooee, M., Hegerty S.W., and Kutan, A.M., 2009. Is PPP sensitive to time-varying trade weights in constructing real effective exchange rates? *Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* 49, 1001-1008.

Bahmani-Oskooee, M.,Kutan, A.M., and Zhou S., 2008. Do real exchange rates follow a nonlinear mean reverting process in developing countries? *Southern Economic Journal* 74, 1049-1062.

Christiano, L., 1992.Searching for a break in GNP, *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* 10, 237–250.

Dickey, D. Fuller, W., 1979.Distribution of the estimators for autoregressive time series with a unit root, *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 74, 427–431.

Engle, R.F. and Granger, C.W.J, 1987. Co-integration and error correction: representation, estimation, and testing, *Econometrica* 55 (2), 251-276.

Kapetanios, G., 2005. Unit-root testing against the alternative hypothesis of up to m structural breaks, *Journal of Time Series Analysis* 26 (1), 123-133.

Lumsdaine, R.L. and Papell, D.H., 1997. Multiple trend breaks and the unit-root hypothesis, *Review of Economics and Statistics* 79, 212-218.

Perron, P., 1989. The great crash, the oil price shock and the unit root hypothesis, *Econometrica* 57, 1361–1401.

_____ , 1990.Testing for a unit root in a time series with a changing mean, *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*8, 153–162.

Sollis, R.,Leybourne, S. and Newbold, P., 2002. Tests for symmetric and asymmetric nonlinear mean reversion in real exchange rates, *Journal of Money, Credit, and*

Banking 34, 686–700.

Taylor, A., 2002. A century of purchasing-power-parity, *Review of Economics and Statistics* 84, 139-150.

Zivot, E., and Andrews, D., 1992. Further evidence on the great crash, the oil-price shock, and the unit-root hypothesis, *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* 10, 251–270.

Novedades

DIVISIÓN DE ADMINISTRACIÓN PÚBLICA

Salvador Espinosa, *On Bond Market Development and Strategic Cross-Border Infrastructure…* , DTAP-269

Ignacio Lozano, *Ejidos y comunidades: ¿cuarto nivel de gobierno?...*, DTAP-268

Ernesto Flores y Judith Mariscal, *Oportunidades y desafíos de la banda ancha móvil en América Latina*, DTAP-267

Judith Mariscal y Walter Lepore, *Oportunidades y uso de las TIC: Innovaciones en el Programa de combate a la pobreza*, DTAP-266

Ernesto Flores y Judith Mariscal, *El caso de la Licitación de la Red Troncal en México: Lecciones para el Perú*, DTAP-265

Dolores Luna *et al.*, *Índice de Gobierno Electrónico Estatal: La medición 2010*, DTAP-264

Gabriel Purón Cid y J. Ramón Gil-García, *Los efectos de las características tecnológicas en los sitios web del gobierno*, DTAP-263

Ana Elena Fierro y J. Ramón Gil-García, *Más allá del acceso a la información*, DTAP-262

Gabriel Purón Cid, *Resultados del "Cuestionario sobre la reforma Presupuesto basado en Resultados…"*, DTAP-261

Guillermo Cejudo y Alejandra Ríos, *El acceso a la información gubernamental en América Central y México: Diagnóstico y propuestas*, DTAP-260

DIVISIÓN DE ECONOMÍA

Kurt Unger, *Especializaciones reveladas y condiciones de competitividad en las entidades federativas de México*, DTE-530

Antonio Jiménez, *Consensus in Communication Networks under Bayesian Updating*, DTE-529

Alejandro López, *Environmental Dependence of Mexican Rural Households*, DTE-528

Alejandro López, *Deforestación en México: Un análisis preliminar*, DTE-527

Eva Arceo, *Drug-Related Violence and Forced Migration from Mexico to the United States*, DTE-526

Brasil Acosta *et al.*, *Evaluación de los resultados de la Licitación del Espectro Radioeléctrico de la COFETEL*, DTE-525

Eva Arceo-Gómez and Raymundo M. Campos-Vázquez, *¿Quiénes son los NiNis en México?*, DTE-524

Juan Rosellón, Wolf-Peter Schill and Jonas Egerer, *Regulated Expansion of Electricity Transmission Networks*, DTE-523

Juan Rosellón and Erix Ruíz, *Transmission Investment in the Peruvian Electricity Market: Theory and Applications*, DTE-522

Sonia Di Giannatale *et al.*, *Risk Aversion and the Pareto Frontier of a Dynamic Principal-Agent Model: An Evolutionary Approximation*, DTE-521

DIVISIÓN DE ESTUDIOS INTERNACIONALES

Mariana Magaldi and Sylvia Maxfield, *Banking Sector Resilience and the Global Financial Crisis: Mexico in Cross-National Perspective*, DTE-229

Brian J. Phillips, *Explaining Terrorist Group Cooperation and Competition*, DTE-228

Covadonga Meseguer and Gerardo Maldonado, *Kind Resistance: Attitudes toward Immigrants in Mexico and Brazil*, DTEI-227

Guadalupe González *et al.*, *The Americas and the World 2010-2011. Public Opinion and Foreign Policy in Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador, Mexico and Peru*, DTEI-226

Guadalupe González *et al.*, *Las Américas y el mundo 2010-2011: Opinión pública y política exterior en Brasil, Colombia, Ecuador, México y Perú*, DTEI-225

Álvaro Morcillo Laiz, *Un vocabulario para la modernidad. Economía y sociedad de Max Weber (1944) y la sociología en español*, DTEI-224

Álvaro Morcillo Laiz, *Aviso a los navegantes. La traducción al español de Economía y sociedad de Max Weber*, DTEI-223

Gerardo Maldonado, *Cambio electoral, anclaje del voto e intermediación política en sistemas de partidos de baja institucionalización*, DTEI-222

James Ron and Emilie Hafner-Burton, *The Latin Bias: Regions, the Western Media and Human Rights*, DTEI-221

Rafael Velázquez, *La política exterior de Estados Unidos hacia México bajo la administración de Barack Obama*, DTEI-220

DIVISIÓN DE ESTUDIOS JURÍDICOS

Rodrigo Meneses y Miguel Quintana, Los motivos para matar: Homicidios instrumentales y expresivos en la ciudad de México, DTEJ-58

Ana Laura Magaloni, *La Suprema Corte y el obsoleto sistema de jurisprudencia constitucional*, DTEJ-57

María Mercedes Albornoz, *Cooperación interamericana en materia de restitución de menores*, DTEJ-56

Marcelo Bergman, *Crimen y desempleo en México: ¿Una correlación espuria?*, DTEJ-55

Jimena Moreno, Xiao Recio y Cynthia Michel, *La conservación del acuario del mundo. Alternativas y recomendaciones para el Golfo de California*, DTEJ-54

María Solange Maqueo, *Mecanismos de tutela de los derechos de los beneficiarios*, DTEJ-53

Rodolfo Sarsfield, *The Mordida´s Game. How institutions incentive corruption*, DTEJ-52

Ángela Guerrero, Alejandro Madrazo, José Cruz y Tania Ramírez, *Identificación de las estrategias de la industria tabacalera en México*, DTEJ-51

Estefanía Vela, *Current Abortion Regulation in Mexico*, DTEJ-50

Adriana García and Alejandro Tello, *Salaries, Appelate Jurisdiction and Judges Performance*, DTEJ-49

DIVISIÓN DE ESTUDIOS POLÍTICOS

Gilles Serra, *The Risk of Partyarchy and Democratic Backsliding: Mexico's Electoral Reform*, DTEP-238

Allyson Benton, *Some Facts and Fictions about Violence and Politics in Mexico*, DTEP-237

Allyson Benton, *The Catholic Church, Political Institutions and Electoral Outcomes in Oaxaca, Mexico*, DTEP-236

Carlos Elizondo, *Stuck in the Mud: The Politics of Constitutional Reform in the Oil Sector in Mexico*, DTEP-235

Joy Langston and Francisco Javier Aparicio, *Gender Quotas are not Enough: How Background Experience and Campaigning Affect Electoral Outcomes*, DTEP-234

Gilles Serra, *How Could Pemex be Reformed? An Analytical Framework Based on Congressional Politics*, DTEP-233

Ana Carolina Garriga, *Regulatory Lags, Liberalization, and Vulnerability to Systemic Banking Crises*, DTEP-232

Rosario Aguilar, *The Tones of Democratic Challenges: Skin Color and Race in Mexico*, DTEP-231

Rosario Aguilar, *Social and Political Consequences of Stereotypes Related to Racial Phenotypes in Mexico*, DTEP-230

Raúl C. González and Caitlin Milazzo, *An Argument for the 'Best Loser' Principle in Mexico*, DTEP-229

DIVISIÓN DE HISTORIA

Michael Sauter, *Spanning the Poles: Spatial Thought and the 'Global' Backdrop to our Globalized World, 1450-1850*, DTH-77

Adriana Luna, *La reforma a la legislación penal en el siglo XVIII: Notas sobre el aporte de Cesare Beccaria y Gaetano Filangieri*, DTH-76

Michael Sauter, *Human Space: The Rise of Euclidism and the Construction of an Early-Modern World, 1400-1800*, DTH-75

Michael Sauter, *Strangers to the World: Astronomy and the Birth of Anthropology in the Eighteenth Century*, DTH-74

Jean Meyer, *Una revista curial antisemita en el siglo XIX: Civiltá Cattolica*, DTH-73

Jean Meyer, *Dos siglos, dos naciones: México y Francia, 1810- 2010*, DTH-72

Adriana Luna, *La era legislativa en Nápoles: De soberanías y tradiciones*, DTH-71

Adriana Luna, *El surgimiento de la Escuela de Economía Política Napolitana*, DTH-70

Pablo Mijangos, *La historiografía jurídica mexicana durante los últimos veinte años*, DTH-69

Sergio Visacovsky, *"Hasta la próxima crisis". Historia cíclica, virtudes genealógicas y la identidad de clase media entre los afectados por la debacle financiera en la Argentina (2001-2002)*, DTH-68

ESTUDIOS INTERDISCIPLINARIOS

Ugo Pipitone, México y América Latina en la tercera oleada (crecimiento, instituciones y desigualdad), DTEIN-02

Eugenio Anguiano, El estudio de China desde cuatro enfoques: histórico, político, internacionalista y económico, DTEIN-01

Ventas

El **CIDE** es una institución de educación superior especializada particularmente en las disciplinas de Economía, Administración Pública, Estudios Internacionales, Estudios Políticos, Historia y Estudios Jurídicos. El Centro publica, como producto del ejercicio intelectual de sus investigadores, **libros, documentos de trabajo,** y **cuatro revistas especializadas:** *Gestión y Política Pública, Política y Gobierno, Economía Mexicana Nueva Época* e *Istor*.

Para adquirir cualquiera de estas publicaciones, le ofrecemos las siguientes opciones:

VENTAS DIRECTAS:	VENTAS EN LÍNEA:
Tel. Directo: 5081-4003 Tel: 5727-9800 Ext. 6094 y 6091 Fax: 5727 9800 Ext. 6314 Av. Constituyentes 1046, 1er piso, Col. Lomas Altas, Del. Álvaro Obregón, 11950, México, D.F.	Librería virtual: **www.e-cide.com** Dudas y comentarios: **publicaciones@cide.edu**

¡¡Colecciones completas!!

Adquiere los **CDs de las colecciones completas** de los documentos de trabajo de todas las divisiones académicas del CIDE: **Economía**, **Administración Pública**, **Estudios Internacionales**, **Estudios Políticos**, **Historia** y **Estudios Jurídicos.**

¡Nuevo! ¡¡Arma tu CD!!

Visita nuestra Librería Virtual www.e-cide.com y selecciona entre 10 y 20 documentos de trabajo. A partir de tu lista te enviaremos un CD con los documentos que elegiste.